

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/747,908	12/29/2003	Byoung Hoon Cho	11037-201-999	6800
24341	7590 01/18/2006		EXAMINER	
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP.			LOUIS JACQUES, JACQUES H	
2 PALO ALT 3000 EL CAN	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PALO ALTO, CA 94306		3661	. **	

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/747,908	CHO, BYOUNG HOON				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jacques H. Louis-Jacques	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De	ecember 2003.					
•	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 December 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	, ,					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No				
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/03,11/04, 10/05.	6) Other:	atom reprinciation (FTO-192)				

1

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file. However, Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome any rejection that may be applied against the claims because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

# Information Disclosure Statement

2. The Information Disclosure Statements filed on December 29, 2003, November 4, 2004, and October 17, 2005 have been considered by the examiner. Initialed copies of the IDS are attached herewith.

### **Drawings**

3. Figure 7 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

As described in paragraphs [005] and [0022], Figure 7 is of the known or conventional art.

## Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the sentence in paragraph [0006] is not clear, in particular, the part that reads "...the first required torque is higher than a predetermined value is the first torque modified to have..."

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Art in view of Tabata [5,899,830].

As admitted by Applicant in the Background of the Invention, the prior art (hereafter admitted art) discloses a torque control method for controlling a throttle motor on the basis of a required torque of an engine. According to the admitted art, there is provided computing a first required torque on the basis of a depression amount of an acceleration pedal and an engine speed; and computing a second required torque by multiplying an output value of a gradient limit function by an output value of a time delay function (or torque filter). See paragraph [004]. The output value of the gradient limit function, according to the admitted art, is determined on the basis of the first required torque, an acceleration pedal depression amount, an engine speed, and a shift range (gearshift

position). See paragraph [005]. The output value of the basic gradient limit function is determined from predetermined look-up table. The admitted art does not particularly describe the gradient limit function. Tabata, on the other hand, discloses an electronically controlled throttle system. Tabata discloses that the output value of the time delay function is determined on the basis of the shift range. Tabata also discloses that the output value of the time delay function is determined from predetermined look-up table. See figures 5 and 6. As can be seen from the disclosure in columns 1, 5 and 6, Tabata discloses determining a basic gradient limit function based the first required torque, the engine speed, and the shift range; and a weight function based on the acceleration pedal depression amount and the shift range. In particular, Tabata discloses that the output value of the basic gradient limit function and the output value of the weight function are determined from a plurality of predetermined look-up tables. See column 5. As shown in the table (map), the output value of the weight function is proportional to the acceleration pedal depression amount. Furthermore, in column 5, Tabata discloses that the output value of the time delay function is determined motor on the basis of the shift range and is proportional to the shift range. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to modify the admitted art by incorporating the features from the electric torque control of Tabata because such modification, as suggested by Tabata, would provide good acceleration performance while improving riding comfort.

Application/Control Number: 10/747,908 Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

6,267,707	Bock	Jul. 2001
6,370,464	Herbster et al	Apr. 2002
US 2003/0100401	Kim	May 2003

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacques H. Louis-Jacques whose telephone number is 571-272-6962. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 571-272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jacques H Louis-Jacques Primary Examiner Art Unit 3661

/jlj